

Solutions 2

1. Use the transformation $w = (L/2\pi) \log z$ as for the 2-point function, and the fact that in the plane $\langle \phi_i(z_1) \phi_j(z_2) \phi_k(z_3) \rangle = c_{ijk} / ((z_1 - z_2)^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k} \dots)$. On the cylinder, taking $w_2 = 0$, and $w_1 = u_1 + iv_1$, $w_3 = u_3 + iv_3$, with $u_1 \ll -L$, $u_3 \gg L$, we find after some algebra

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi_i(u_1, v_1) \phi_j(0, 0) \phi_k(u_3, v_3) \rangle_{\text{cyl}} \\ & \sim c_{ijk} (2\pi/L)^{x_i + x_j + x_k} e^{-2\pi x_i |u_1|/L} e^{2\pi i(s_i - s_j)v_1/L} e^{-2\pi x_k u_3/L} e^{2\pi i(s_j - s_k)v_3/L} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i, s_i = \Delta_i \pm \bar{\Delta}_i$, etc. If we write this in terms of operators

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | e^{iv_1 \hat{P}} \hat{\phi}_i(0) e^{-iv_1 \hat{P}} e^{-|u_1| \hat{H}} \hat{\phi}_j(0) e^{-u_3 \hat{H}} e^{iv_3 \hat{P}} \hat{\phi}_k(0) e^{-iv_3 \hat{P}} | 0 \rangle \\ & \sim \langle 0 | \phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_i | \hat{\phi}_j | \phi_k \rangle \langle \phi_k | 0 \rangle e^{-2\pi x_i |u_1|/L} e^{2\pi i(s_i - s_j)v_1/L} e^{-2\pi x_k u_3/L} e^{2\pi i(s_j - s_k)v_3/L} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand doing the same for the 2-point function (or simply setting $\phi_j = 1$ in the above) we see that $\langle 0 | \phi_i \rangle = (2\pi/L)^{x_i}$, $\langle \phi_k | 0 \rangle = (2\pi/L)^{x_k}$, so finally

$$\langle \phi_i | \hat{\phi}_j(0) | \phi_k \rangle = (2\pi/L)^{x_j} c_{ijk}$$

This means that the OPE coefficients may be measured knowing matrix elements on the cylinder.

2. According to first-order perturbation theory, we need to work out

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \langle \phi_j | \int_0^L T(v) \bar{T}(v) dv | \phi_j \rangle = \lambda \int \langle \phi_j | T(v) | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | \bar{T}(v) | \phi_j \rangle dv \\ & = \lambda (1/L) \langle \phi_j | \int T(v) dv | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | \int \bar{T}(v) dv | \phi_j \rangle \\ & = \lambda (2\pi/L)^2 (1/L) \langle \phi_j | L_0 - (c/24) | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | \bar{L}_0 - (c/24) | \phi_j \rangle \\ & = \lambda (4\pi^2/L^3) (\Delta_j - (c/24)) (\bar{\Delta}_j - (c/24)) \end{aligned}$$

Note this is negligible compared to the $O(1/L)$ term as $L \rightarrow \infty$, typical of the effect of an irrelevant perturbation.

3. Suppose the operator is ϕ . Its fusion rules must have the form $\phi \cdot \phi = 1 + \phi$, with no other operators. Since this is a minimal model, it must lie in the first column or first row of the Kac table. In the first case it must be $\phi_{1,2}$, but in general this will also give $\phi_{1,3}$ in fusion with itself. This can only work therefore if in fact $\Delta_{1,3} = \Delta_{1,2}$, that is $(p - 2p')^2 = (p - 3p')^2$, that is $2p - 5p'$, or $p = 5$, $p' = 2$. (No multiples are allowed because $p' > 2$ would allow more columns in the Kac table.) Thus $c = -\frac{22}{5}$ and $\Delta_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{5}$. We also find that $\Delta_{1,4} = 1$. This was identified in [JC, Phys. Rev.

Lett. 54, 1354, (1985)] as the scaling limit of the Yang-Lee edge singularity (a ϕ^3 field theory with purely imaginary coupling.)

Exchanging rows and columns just swaps $p \leftrightarrow p'$. The case of two fields is slightly more complex: if we allow only one column then we get the model with $p = 7, p' = 2$. If we allow two columns we get the unitary model with $c = \frac{1}{2}$.

4. Modular invariance implies that $Z(\delta) = Z(1/\delta)$, and hence that $Z'(1) = 0$. Writing $Z = e^{-2\pi c\delta/12} \sum_j e^{-2\pi x_j \delta}$ gives

$$\frac{c}{12} = \frac{\sum_j x_j e^{-2\pi x_j}}{\sum_j e^{-2\pi x_j}} < x_{\min} \frac{\sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-2\pi x_j}}{1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-2\pi x_j}} < x_{\min}$$

but it is clearly possible to do much better than this. For the latest effort in this direction see arXiv:1405.5137

5. The answer is almost given: $\text{Tr} \Sigma q^{L_0 - c/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0 - c/24} = |\chi_0|^2 + |\chi_{1/2}|^2 - |\chi_{1/16}|^2$. Writing this as the modular invariant sum minus $2|\chi_{1/16}|^2$, we see that under S it goes into

$$\begin{aligned} & |\chi_0|^2 + |\chi_{1/2}|^2 + |\chi_{1/16}|^2 - 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\chi}_0 - \bar{\chi}_{1/2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi_0 - \chi_{1/2}) \\ &= \bar{\chi}_0 \chi_{1/2} + \bar{\chi}_{1/2} \chi_0 + |\chi_{1/16}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

This means that in the antiperiodic sector the lowest energy state corresponds to an operators with dimensions $(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16})$. This is the disorder operator, dual to the magnetisation and with the same dimensions. There are also a primaries with dimensions $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ — these are the Ising fermions.